

May 11, 1993

LB 722, 734  
LR 93

CLERK: 24 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to return (sic) LR 93.

SPEAKER BAACK: LR 93 is adopted. We will now proceed to Item 6 on the agenda and motions to return on LB 734.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first motion I have with respect to 734 is by Senator Cudaback. Senator, I understand you had earlier filed AM1763, but you wish to offer instead AM1944. Is that right? Mr. President, Senator Cudaback would move to return LB 734 for purposes of adopting AM1944.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Speaker, members, first of all I guess I would just like to say I want to apologize for this long amendment. I have always spoken against doing such things on the floor on Final Reading, but we feel that this amendment is needed because it is not necessarily geared to one set of special interest groups or one body over here or one part of the state or whatever. This particular amendment is geared to the whole State of Nebraska, all the municipalities all the subdivisions and so on. So I think it is worthy of being adopted on Final Reading. Otherwise I would not bring to you a 55 or 60-page amendment on Final Reading for your approval. I'd like to thank everybody involved who has helped amend this and who has had input. We've had a lot of discussions, we've had tried to be up front with everyone here. We've had work sessions and we've all, I guess it's worked out accordingly, I hope. And so we will try to define it as close as we can to the way the amendment reads. Actually the amendment does three things. It deals with the Municipal Proprietary Function Act, related and statutory changes from LB 722 and it deals with miscellaneous, let me get my items straight here, with the Local Government Miscellaneous Expenditure Act and the property-taxation time line amendments. I brought LB 722. It had a public hearing. It was heard by the committee. We discussed it then and it was talked about and Senator Hartnett's committee discussed it. He had several amendments to it, a lot of concerns and it was dealt with in committee and it was brought up at several other meetings and this is what we come up with. The statutory changes would simply be the dates... maybe I should explain what statutory thing is first. It deals with water, sewer perhaps and garbage, any of those kind of functions